

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,120	01/12/2005	Gerald Payne	66307-331-7	6789	
25269 DYKEMA GC	7590 02/24/200 OSSETT PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST			WILLIAMS, JAMILA O		
1300 I STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	. ,		3725		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/521,120 PAYNE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

	JAMILA WILLIAMS	3725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO prince of rengly is generalled above, the machinum statutory period we have been appropriately and the provision of 37 CFR 1.1 after the mailing arms of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(4).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
T)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2-5-2 A)□ This action is FINAL. Single Sin	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of Claims							
4) ◯ Claim(s) <u>1.3-6.10-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ◯ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ◯ Claim(s) <u>1.3-6.10-14.16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ◯ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ◯ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					

loff
i

Application/Control Number: 10/521,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2-5-2009 has been entered.

Claim Objections

Claim14 is objected to because of the following informalities: there is a lack of antecedent basis for "the fold line". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 1,3-6,10,11,13,14,16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6,332,631 to Kirk in view of 6,805,926 to Cote et al

Art Unit: 3725

(hereinafter Cote) and further in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter AAPA).

Kirk discloses a label comprising a substate (20) having first and second opposing surfaces and first and second adjoining sections (first section 34, second section 36 and 38), adhesive applied to the second surface of the first section for adhering the label in use to an article (column 4 lines 8-10), a second repositionable adhesive applied to at least a part of the second surface of the second section (column 4 lines 20-22), in now viewing position the first and second sections lie contiguously in the same plane adhered to the article (looking at figure 2, the label of Kirk is inherently capable of being adhered to an article in this manner). Kirk discloses the label having indicia 40 that is concealed when the second section is adhered in a non-viewing position and is revealed when the second section is lifted into a viewing position (column 3 lines 60-62).

Kirk does not however disclose having security features incorporated in or on at least one of the first and second sections.

Cote teaches having a label on a container with security features (column 3 lines 19-33 of Cote). Cote also teaches having the security feature concealed from view until the label is pulled up or removed from the container (column 3 lines 66-67 and column 4 lines 1-3 of Cote).

Art Unit: 3725

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the security feature of Cote on the first or second sections of the label of Kirk for the purpose of providing a label that prevents counterfeiting.

Kirk modified by Cote discloses a label having a first and second section and having security features (security thread) associated therewith. This combination does not however clearly disclose that the security thread is viewed differently in transmissive or reflected light.

AAPA teaches on page 2 first paragraph of the specification that security features such as security threads have a different perception in reflected and transmitted light.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the teachings of AAPA with the label of Kirk modified by Cote for the purpose of providing a different optical affect when the layer of the label are lifted, as recited in claims 1.3.

Regarding claim 4, Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses at least one security feature located on the first and/or second surfaces of the second section (Kirk shows in figure 3, indicia on the second surface of second section 36, Cote provides the teaching of the security feature on the label).

Regarding claim 5, Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses at least one security feature is located on the second surface of the first section (Cote teaches having a security feature on the second surface of the first section of the label- i.e. on

Art Unit: 3725

the adhesive side of the label, it would have been obvious to use this teaching in the label of Kirk for the purpose of providing a security feature that can be seen through a transparent container for example, see figure 1b of Cote).

Regarding claim 6, Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses the first and second sections hinge about a fold line (perforations 60- see figure 13. Kirk discloses in column 7 line 36-39 that a line of perforations are located at or about the interface between 34.36, these perforations provide a foldline as claimed).

Regarding claim 10, Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses the security feature is an elongate security element (embedded security thread of Cote).

Regarding claim 11, Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses the security element is partially or wholly embedded within the substrate (column 3 lines 54-55 of Cote).

Regarding claims 13-14, Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses the first and second sections are separable (perforations 60 of Kirk); the separation occurs at the foldline by the perforations (60 is located at or about the interface between 34,36).

Regarding claim 16, see rejection of claim 1 above.

 Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirk in view of Cote, in view of AAPA and further in view of 3,593,443 to Demetrius, Jr. et al (hereinafter Demetrius, Jr).

Kirk modified by Cote and AAPA discloses most elements of the claims but for the substrate having a plurality of second sections, each liftable from non-viewing to viewing position.

Art Unit: 3725

Demetrius, Jr teaches having a substate with a plurality of second sections (figure 2 for example).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the second sections of Demetrius, Jr with the substrate of Kirk modified by Cote/AAPA for the purpose of providing more information on the substrate.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. This is simply art of interest and was not used to reject any claims in this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMILA WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-4431. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on 571-272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,120 Page 7

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 /Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725